

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/035,321	01/04/2002	Orell Dror	ORELL2	2018
1444	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	AND NEIMARK, P. STREET, NW	BENGZON, GREG C		
SUITE 300	STREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001-5303		2144	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Comments	10/035,3	21	DROR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
·		Greg Ben		2144			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. Ty period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)			
Status	,						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n					
		 ⊠ This action is n	on-final				
•							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims	·					
		ication					
	 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	eauirement.				
	on Papers		•				
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminor	•	,			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[O objected to by the E	Evaminor			
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			* *			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	. 140	to the attached Office	Adion of form F 10-132.			
•	•			• .			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
,-	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
+ 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certif	ied copies not received	i.			
Attachment	(s)			· •			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (DTO 412)			
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper S. Patent and Tra	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		ffice Action Summar	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t of Paper No /Mail Date 20060914			

Application/Control Number: 10/035,321

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been examined. Claims 1-32 are pending.

Priority

The effective date of the subject matter in the claims in this application is January 4, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 11-18, 22-29, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso et al. (US Patent 6421733).

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 1,11,22) receiving a request from a client to a server via a network in accordance with a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) to stream a media file of a given type; (Tso-Column 3 Lines 10-15) passing the request to a servlet running in conjunction with the server; (Tso-Column 3 Lines 10-15) parsing the request

using the servlet to identify elements of the media file (Tso-Column 12 Lines 60-65) to be transferred to the client and streaming the identified elements from the server to the client as a HTTP response (Tso-Column 3 Lines 10-15)

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 2,12,23) wherein parsing the request comprises determining a processing action to be applied to the elements of the media file, (Tso-Column 2 Lines 45-50, Column 12 Lines 60-65) and wherein streaming the identified elements comprises applying the processing action to the elements.

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 3,13,24) wherein parsing the request comprises determining a parameter applicable to the processing action (Tso-Column 5 Lines 35-40, Column 6 Lines 35-40), and wherein applying the processing action comprises processing the elements of the media file responsive to the parameter.

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 4,14,25) wherein determining the parameter comprises determining a limitation on a media playing capability of the client, (Tso-Column 7 Lines 20-25) and wherein the processing action comprises modifying the identified elements in response to the limitation;

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 5,15,26) wherein determining the limitation comprises identifying a network bandwidth, (Tso- Column 7 Lines 35-40) and wherein modifying the identified elements in response to the limitation comprises altering the elements responsive to the network bandwidth;

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 6,16,27) wherein determining the limitation comprises determining a resource level provided by the client, (Tso-Column 7 Lines 20-25) and wherein modifying the identified elements comprises selecting the identified elements responsive to the resource level;

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 7,17,28) wherein applying the processing action comprises transcoding (Tso-Column 7 Lines 20-25) at least one of the elements of the media file into a desired media format;

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 8,18,29) wherein receiving the request comprises receiving a request for a certain portion of the media file, (Tso-Column 5 Lines 35-40, Column 6 Lines 35-40) and wherein parsing the request comprises selecting the elements of the media file to be transferred responsive to the request. (Tso-Column 14 Lines 45-55)

Tso disclosed (re. Claim 32) wherein the servlet comprises a subset of the instructions, and the subset of the instructions comprises instructions written in a platform-independent, object-oriented computer language. (Tso-Column 3 Lines 15-20, Column 4 Lines 20-25, Column 10 Lines 55-60)

Application/Control Number: 10/035,321

Art Unit: 2144

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10, 19-21, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso et al. (US Patent 6421733) in view of Kalra et al. (US Patent 6490627) hereinafter referred to as Kalra.

Tso did not disclose (re. Claim 9,19,30) wherein the elements of the media file comprise an ordered sequence of frames, and wherein selecting the elements comprises selecting a segment within the sequence.

Kalra disclosed several of the claim limitations as Tso such as determining a limitation on a media playing capability of the client and transcoding (Kalra- Column 19 Lines 50-55) at least one of the elements of the media file into a desired media format.

In addition, Kalra disclosed (re. Claim 9,19,30) wherein the elements of the media file comprise an ordered sequence of frames, (Kalra- Column 10 Lines 25-30 and

wherein selecting the elements comprises selecting a segment within the sequence.

(Kalra-Column 5 Lines 15-20)

Tso and Kalra are analogous art because they present concepts and practices regarding streaming media files. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Kalra into Tso. The motivation for said combination would have been, as Kalra suggests (Kalra- Column 1 Lines 25-30), to provide compact and distortion-free streaming media that is matched to the computational power available.

Tso-Kalra disclosed (re. Claim 10,20,31) wherein the elements of the media file comprises a plurality of media tracks temporally juxtaposed in parallel (Kalra- Figure 2B), and wherein selecting the elements comprises selecting, one or more of the tracks (Kalra – Column 16 Lines 15-20);

Tso-Kalra disclosed (re. Claim 21) wherein the server comprises a cluster of servers, arranged so that the HTTP request is handled by one of the servers in the cluster, and the servlet is run on a different one of the servers in the cluster. (Kalra-Figures 13,14)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Applicant affidavit filed under CRF 1.131 has been considered by the Examiner. The Examiner notes that in the affidavit the Applicant has not established the date of conception, but only states that the date of conception was prior to July 25, 2001. However in order to expedite prosecution the Examiner has provided new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Bengzon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3944. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571)272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gcb

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2107

PPY